



Phyllis W.
Cheng

CASES PENDING BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT

ARBITRATION

Barbosa v. Sierra Pacific Orthopaedic Ctr. Med. Group, Inc., 2025 WL3628510 (nonpub. Dec. 15, 2025); *review granted* (Mar. 25, 2026), S294710/F088971

Petition for review after affirmance of order denying motion to compel arbitration. If an arbitration agreement provides that disputes will be resolved under the Federal Arbitration Act (9 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq.; the FAA), do the FAA's substantive provisions apply and preempt inconsistent state laws regardless of whether interstate commerce is involved?

Reply brief due.

Rodriguez v. Packers Sanitation Serv., Ltd., 109 Cal. App. 5th 69 (2025); *review granted*, 2025 WL 1404550 (May 14, 2025), S290182/D083400

Petition for review after denial of motion to compel arbitration. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *Leeper v. Shipt*, S289305 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court.

Holding for the lead case.

ATTORNEY'S FEES

Cash v. County of Los Angeles, 111 Cal. App. 5th 741 (2025); *review granted*, 2025 WL 2414150 (Mem) (Aug. 20, 2025), S291827/B336980

Review granted after affirmance of post-judgment orders.

1. Did the trial court's across-the-board reduction of the fees requested by

plaintiff's counsel trigger heightened scrutiny of its fee order on appeal?

2. Did the trial court commit reversible error in reducing the fee request on an across-the-board basis?

Reply brief due.

CIVIL PROCEDURE

Maniago v. Desert Cardiology Consultants' Med. Group, Inc., 109 Cal. App. 5th 621 (2015); *review granted*, 332 Cal. Rptr. 3d 557 (Mem) (May 28, 2025); S290188/D085025

Petition for review after dismissal. Is a voluntary dismissal with prejudice an appealable order if it was entered after an adverse ruling by the trial court to expedite an appeal of the ruling?

Submitted/opinion due.

WAGE AND HOUR

Camp v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc., 84 Cal. App. 5th 638 (2022); *review granted* (Feb. 1, 2023), S277518/H049033

Petition after reversal of judgment. Under California law, are employers permitted to use neutral time-rounding practices to calculate employees' work time for payroll purposes?

Fully briefed.

CRST Expedited, Inc. v. Superior Ct., 112 Cal. App. 5th 872 (2025); *review granted & depub. denied*, 2025 WL 2671496 (Sept. 17, 2025), S292005/F088569

Petition for review after denial of writ of mandate and discharge of order to show cause and stay. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and

disposition of related issues in *Leeper v. Shipt*, S289305 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Fully briefed.

***Domingo v. Prime Healthcare Paradise Valley LLC*, 2025 WL 2649529 (nonpub. Sept. 16, 2025); review granted (Dec. 10, 2025), S293682/D085075**

Review granted after affirmance of order denying motion to compel arbitration. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *Leeper v. Shipt, Inc.*, S289305, which presents the following issues:

1. Does every Private Attorneys General Act (CAL. LAB. CODE §§ 2698 et seq.) (PAGA) action necessarily include both individual and non-individual PAGA claims, regardless of whether the complaint specifically alleges individual claims?
2. Can a plaintiff choose to bring only a non-individual PAGA action?

Holding for the lead case.

***Galarsa v. Dolgen California*, 88 Cal. App. 5th 639 (2023); review granted, 2025 WL 3674383 (Mem) (Dec. 17, 2025); S293545/F089004**

Review granted after denial of petition for writ of mandate and affirmance of order denying motion to compel arbitration. Briefing deferred pending decision in *Leeper v. Shipt, Inc.*, S289305, which presents the following issues:

1. Does every Private Attorneys General Act (CAL. LAB. CODE §§ 2698 et seq.) (PAGA) action necessarily include both individual and non-individual PAGA claims, regardless of whether the complaint specifically alleges individual claims?
2. Can a plaintiff choose to bring only a non-individual PAGA action?

Holding for the lead case.

***Leeper v. Shipt, Inc.*, 107 Cal. App. 5th 1001 (2024); review granted, 2025 WL 1132271 (April 16, 2025), S289305/B339670**

Review granted on court's own motion after denial of republication.

Does every Private Attorneys General Act (CAL. LAB. CODE §§ 2698 et seq.) (PAGA) action necessarily include both individual and non-individual PAGA claims, regardless of whether the complaint specifically alleges individual claims?

Can a plaintiff choose to bring only a non-individual PAGA action?

Fully briefed.

***Lorenzo v. San Francisco Zen Center*, 116 Cal. App. 5th 258 (2025); review granted & jud. notice den., 2026 WL 391750 (Feb. 11, 2026); S294565/A171659**

Review granted after reversal of judgment. Does the ministerial exception arising under the Religion Clauses of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution categorically preclude wage and hour claims by a minister against a religious organization without any inquiry into whether the claim touches upon any ecclesiastical concern?

Answer brief due.

***Osuna v. Spectrum Security Serv., Inc.*, 111 Cal. App. 5th 516 (2025); review granted, 2025 WL 2167312 (July 30, 2025), S291614/B338047**

Review granted after reversal and remand of order sustaining demurrer without leave to amend. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in *Leeper v. Shipt*, S289305 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court.

Holding for the lead case.

***Williams v. Alacrity Solutions Group, LLC*, 110 Cal. App. 5th 932 (2025); review granted (July 9, 2025), S291199/B335445**

Petition after affirmance of judgment. Briefing deferred pending decision in *Leeper v. Shipt, Inc.*, S289305, which presents the following issues:

1. Does every Private Attorneys General Act (CAL. LAB. CODE §§ 2698 et seq.) (PAGA) action necessarily include both individual and non-individual PAGA claims, regardless of whether the complaint specifically alleges individual claims?
2. Can a plaintiff choose to bring only a non-individual PAGA action?

Holding for the lead case.

WRONGFUL TERMINATION

***Hearn v. Pacific Gas & Electric Co.*, 108 Cal. App. 5th 301 (2025); review granted, 2025 WL 1404484 (May 14, 2025), S289581/A167742, A167991**

Petition for review after affirmance in part and reversal in part of judgment. May a terminated employee bring a defamation claim against a former employer when the defamation allegedly contributed to the reasons for the termination of that employment or must such a claim be pursued under a wrongful discharge theory?

Fully briefed.

ENDNOTE

- * Phyllis W. Cheng is a neutral at ADR Services, Inc., and is on mediation panels for the California Court of Appeal, Second and Sixth Appellate Districts, and U.S. District Court-Central District of California. In addition to writing this column for 23 years, she also prepares the Labor & Employment Case Law Alert, a free electronic alert service on new cases for Labor & Employment Section members. To subscribe online at <http://www.calbar.ca.gov>, log onto "My State Bar Profile," and follow the instructions under "Change My E-mail Addresses and List Subscriptions."

Visit the Section's Website

The Labor & Employment Law Section's website is a great resource for section members.

<https://calawyers.org/section/labor-and-employment-law/>

There, you'll find news of upcoming Section events and programs, information about the Section's publications, a link for subscribing to Case Law Alerts (delivered directly to your email inbox), a link to past broadcasts of "Your Legal Rights" on radio station KALW, and full-length articles and excerpts from past issues of the Law Review.

Log on Today!

